THE TRUE

CHURCH

CHRIST.

EXPOSED

To the View of all SOBER CHRISTIANS, from the Word of GOD, Sound Reafon,

ANDTHE

Ancient FATHERS. In opposition to the Cruelties of the Spanish Inquisition.

By JAMES SALGADO, a Spaniard, a Converted Priest.

London, Printed and are to be Sold by William Mar shall at the Bible in Newgare-street, 1683.

THETRUE CXPO To the Tiew of 1 SOBER OHRISTIAN ; from the Mordol COL, Sound R. a-.ook HHDTGMA Aucient FATHERS. In opposition to the Cruckies of the span Alaquilipon. Sy JAKES SKIG (DO, 2 Spiniatily) Conversal Action Lamba . Priceds and are to be fold by tribeing Martin L. v. die Mele in Norgane-firete une ..

re fo

fei

mo

ed to

read

Little

to t

tofor

after

去多类杂类杂类杂类杂类

An Advice to the Reader.

Here be so many Books of this sort already printed, that some will be apt to say that his is superfluous; but I being born in a Kingom so superstitions, the Inhabitants of which re so darkned in their Papistical Errours, that me doubt of my Conversion, whether it be real feigned; and being ignorant of the English longue, I cannot give by word that satisfaction would to the over-curious; I did therefore ampose this little Book in Latin, which I caned to be translated into English, that they may read in the said Book the Cause and Reasons of my Conversion. Receive then, dear Reader, this little Work with the same good will as I effer it to thee; it may be thou mayest find in it something that thou hast neither heard nor read beretofore: Adieu, God bless you with much felicily in this World, and Eternal Happiness beres after in the other.

An Adrico to the Revier.

THESE SEMAN COME of the or works printed a star from the sea spirite . I when in a large flower our being bern but Kings on the following to dominion or policy it of shinden epos por sale to me destroy my to be song when the result this and but governs of the test of The state of the total and the state of the state of may be constitute to a instanting the Line. Control of the Contro making a field to the court of the court lete wark with the in good all a lefter to a tine is a factor of the come to a political the state of the state of the state of the state of who en statement to the wind the selection n in the property of the property of the property dir in the transfer

di

191

ok OR

to

The Epiftle Desicatory.

nour and Repute of the Mariot to the gladding of the hearts of To the Right Honourable HENEAGE Earl of Nottingbam, Lord Chancellour of Enga alty, Juffice and Integrithent so speak in floor, most agree bl for one that is the Onintellen His most Important Ato fair, which concerns the Conversion of a Man to God, cannot better be offered to any Perlon, than to preshat

UMI

audit

The Epiftle Dedicatory.

tolges the greatest affait of a lighty Montrell to the Glory Chi God Sto the Credit and Intert of his Prince, costie Honour and Repute of the Nation, to the gladding of the hearts of altigonal altigons therein; and the Ferrous of Offenders to his own Immorta praise here, and Inflinorial Felicies hereafter, with universal, Ready, Oun Patter Loyalty, Justice and Integritary is, to speak in short, most agreeable for one that is the Quintellence enivid Hod , seignelles He Ho Fair, Which some in the has Convertion of a Man berfie boldheis which have to pretent this Book to your Ho? nour, man

The Epiffe Redipatory

for Excuse but also promise my felf that your relonour will rest ceive it according to your wontained Noble and Generous Condescention, being your Poor Offerer tenders it with the Profoundest Reverence and Respects imaginable.

dient Servant,

I humbly pray your Lordship to take both my Self and Book to your Honours Protection; and it shall be my daily Prayers to Almighty God, to take under his Especial Charge your Honours Person, and under his Especial Conduct all your Honours Actions; so that both may be acceptable

s

h

s,

81

r,

The Ephell Blandsory.

Bink while M. Saivice aill of sitted
Bink walked the Old Old Street with the Conceive it according to your working
addicention, Broth with the Proferer tenders it with the Profound from successors and Conferer tenders it with the Profound from successors and Confound from successors and Confound

dient Servant,

I humbly pray your Lordship to take both my Self and Book cook at Cook and Book and Book at the self and Book it shall be my daily Prayers to Almighry God, to take under his Especial Charge your Honours Person, and under his Especial Conduct all your Flouours Actions, so that both may be acceptable.

for Ch

Sai

pol Me



E ad lo operat THE swort of the

TRUE CHURCH

OF

CHRIST, &c.

T was not without Reason that the Fathers compared the Church to the Ark of Noah; because that as none that were out of the Ark could escape destruction by the Flood; so none that are without the Bosom of the Church can escape Everlasting Damnation; for those that are no Members of the Church, cannot partake of her peculiar Priviledges, such as Vocation, Justification, Sanctification, &c. without which it's impossible to attain Eternal Life: And as any Member cut off from the Body is thereby deprived of Life, Sense and Motion, because

cause it is no more united to, nor influenced from the Head; even fo those that are cut off from the Church, are thereby deprived of Eternal Life, because they are not united unto Christ, who is the Head of the Church: and therefore want that influence of his Spirit which is the Author of all Spiritual Life.

For this reason David affirms, that the Heathen knew not the Laws of God, even because they were not in the Communion of Israel, to which the Church was confined under the Old Testament, Pfa. 147. 19,20. The Apostle Paul writing to the Epbestans, doth yet further confirm this Affertion; At that time you were without Christ, being Aliens from the Commonwealth of Israel, and Strangers from the Covenant of Promise, baving no hope, and without God in the world. Eph. 2. 12. Namely, because they were not in the Bosom of the Church, they were therefore excluded from the Communion of Christ, who is the faithful Husband of the Church his only Spouse, as he himself affirms, Cant. 6. 1. My Dove, my Undefiled, is but one.

But when the Fathers used this similitude, they meant the Universal Church, whose beginning Augustine deriveth from Abels and

deduceth

by

W

N

na

be

lar

tio

tha

or (

the

or r

and

With

WOU

the v

H

bein

deduceth the continuation thereof even to the end of the World: Therefore it is not this or that Church, in this or that part of the World, that can be called Catholick in this sense; but that Church which was, which is, and which is to come, and comprehends the Triumphaht as well as the Militant. And if that be properly Catholick, which hath been always and every where believed by all (Vincent. Livinensis contra profanas novitates) then that is the Catholick Church which hath and will be always found in all Nations; for the thing ruled cannot be narrower than the Rule, and Faith cannot be found but in believers.

I don't deny that there are many particular Assemblies, and many Provincial or National Churches, and some of these purer than others; but none of these Assemblies or Churches can be called Catholick, taking the word strictly, because they are only parts or members constituting one general body; and therefore cannot be called universal without a plain contradiction, unless you would give to the Hand or Foot the name of

the whole body.

Hence it follows, that the Roman Church being but a particular Church (supposing B 2 that

ced

cut

ved

ted

ch;

pi-

e.

the

ven

ion

ned

20.

ans,

At

Ali-

and

ba-

rld.

not

of

the

af

3 25

ide,

be-

and

eth

deny) cannot claim unto it felf alone, exclusively to other Churches that profess

Christ, the Title of Catholick.

I confess I am not so rigid as to exclude the Roman Church and her Followers from the Latitude of the Universal Church; because besides that men living in that Communion, but in the simplicity of their heart professing God and his Christ, and fincerely endeavouring to work out their Salvation (although in many points they neither understand the thing it felf, nor the manner of the thing, because of an invincible ignorance) may attain unto eternal life; for God is no respecter of persons, but in every Nation he that feareth him, and worketh right coufness is accepted with him, Act. 10.34, 35. I fay, besides this, the Popish Church may be called a Church in a Physical though not in a Moral fense, even rs an Adulterous Wife doth not lose the name of a Wife, although fhe lose the name of an honost Wife.

But because the Court of Rome will have their Church to consist in the Pope, or Council, or both, I shall evince their Church so taken to be fallible, erroneous and false And although the Church in this sense b

byte

al

re

OF

fal

Af

in i

oth

IOVI

wit

Chu

infa

ner

DOE

know

prop

they

have

felf:

It

but representative; yet feeing all the rest do depend upon her as infallible, and have nothing left them but a blind obedience, taking the denomination of the whole from the principal part, I rightly affirm that the Roman Church is false, uncatholick, yea, and no Church at all.

The Papists, not contented to pronounce all Churches without their Communion Hereticks and Schismaticks, and therefore without any hope of Salvation, have moreover afferted, that their own Church is infallible, and void of all Errour. A great Affertion indeed, and which is not only falle in it felf, but also is one great reason why other Churches diffenting from her, cannot joyn into one Body, nor hold Communion with her: For, besides that no particular Church (fuch as the Roman is) can be called infallible, it necessitates them, after the manner of the Athenians, to worship they know not what, and cred an Altar to the unknown God.

It is in vain to dispute concerning the property or priviledge of any thing, while they that attribute these priviledges to it, have no certain knowledge of the thing it felf: Therefore in vain do they assert, that

B3 their

ty

X-

efs

de

om

be-

m-

art

ely

HOL

un-

of

no-

God

tion

nes

lay,

call-

n a

Nife

ough

have

, or

urch

false

se b bute their Church is infallible, while they cannot determine when, or where this Church is. Some of them, as the Sorbonists, do place it in the Council; others, as the Jesuits, in the Pope alone, and finally, others in them both both joyntly. Now chuse which of those you please, you'l find your self involv'd into inextricable difficulties; and, as I faid before, under a necessity to facrifice to an unknown God, which I shall shortly evince.

Let us suppose, that the Church and her Infallibility confifts in the Council alone, you shall presently be contradicted by some men of that same Church. But to pass this, Whence pray doth it appear that this Council is infallible? For first, it is impossible, that of things of one and the fame nature there can be made up another thing of a quite contrary nature: Therefore all the Members, of which this Council confifts, being fallible, how comes the Council it fell to be infallible? for if this Infallibility came bu: then to the Council, when they met to gether to constitute one Synodical Body; where pray was it before? in what corner out o of the World did it lurk? from whence and in what manner did this good Infallib. and c lity come down upon the Fathers of the sall Coun

ti

Ç G G

M

or

tai

W

Eff

the

per

for

the

And

you

falli

cree

do c

ways

gree

ceive

verfi

A

Council? or what shall become of it after the Council is dissolved? Where shall it compose its Head to rest, that hath been toffed and wearied by so many janglings and Gramatications.

Moreover, how shall you know that all the Members of the Council have been lawfully or at all baptized, feeing you cannot be certain of the intention of the Priest, or Old Woman that baptized them, upon which the Efficacy of the Sacrament depends; that they were Canonically ordained, and not per saltum? that they were not intruded by force or Simony? All which are required to the constitution of a Bishop in suo formali. And unless you can perswade your felf, that you believe all these with a Divine and Infallible Faith, you cannot imbrace their Decrees for infallible.

Again, if this Infallibility of the Church do confift in the Council, there should be always extant fuch a Council, to which difagreeing Parties might have recourse, and receive a final determination of their Controversies; that so all scruples being removed out of their minds, they might live in peace allibi and concord among themselves. But where is all this to be found? And if there were

B 4 fuc-

tot

is.

it

the

oth

ale

in-

be-

un-

her

one,

ome

his,

oun-

ible,

ure,

of i

the

, be-

felf

came

t to-

ody;

orner

ence

f the

Coun

fuch a Council always in being, which yet is impellible, how should you know that this Council is not misguided by partiality; that it is not as bad as that of Ariminum, and needs not to be corrected by a subsequent Council? (as many Councils have been, if we believe Augustine.)

You'l fay perhaps that Christ promised unto his Disciples, and consequently to the Church, that the Spirit of truth shall come, and guide them into all truth, Joh. 16. 13. and that the Gates of Hell shall not prevail as

gamf it, Mat. 16, 18.

For answer, I deny not that Christ promised his Disciples the Holy Ghost, but extraordinary things, are not to be confounded with ordinary; for they received both the matter and words of what they wrote from the immediate inspiration of the Holy Ghost; but in after-Ages the Church was tyed to the Scriptures, as sufficient for all manner of holy instruction, Gal. 1. 2 Tim. 3. 15, 16, 17, which if the Fathers of the Council do follow, it is not to be doubted but God will afford them the assistance of his Spirit: But it cannot be said, that the Council doth hereby become insallible.

2. When

He

de

of

wh

We

pre

Co

cil

the

to

Di

me

Sci

the

to

the

the

lo

cai

as

to

Sci

W

When Christ saith, that the Gares of Hell shell not prevail against the Church, he doth not understand any particular Churches of their Bishops, but the Universal Church, which that it cannot erre in Fundamentals, we believe and affert.

3. How do you know that the Holy Spirit presides in this or that Council, seeing the Council of Ariminum may be called a Coun-

cil as well as the Nicene?

4. How can you be fure of any Council, that the Members thereof speak from a love to truth, and desire of peace, or from the Dictates of the Holy Ghost, and not rather from partiality? that their Decrees are framed more by the weight of Reason and Scriptures, than by the multitude of Votes; that it is not such as the Council of Trent, to which (as a Member of that Synod said) the Holy Ghost was brought from Rome in the Bags of the Roman Pacquet, and stayed longer away when the waters did rise, but came quickly thither when they were sailen, as being afraid to be wet or drowned.

5. It's ridiculous for a Papist to go about to prove the Infallibility of Councils from Scripture; for I ask him this question, Whence doth it appear that that Church is

his.

*

in,

ive

fed

the

me-

13.

4.

robut

ved

hey

of

ıffi-

on,

not

not

be-

hen

Infallible, whose office it is both to make a Rule or Canon, and to give Authority to the Scriptures? for they hold, that the Authority of the Scriptures, as to us left, depends upon the Church: Now if the Church give the Scriptures their Authority, as to us, how can they convince us of the Authority of the Church?

Thus you fee into what difficulties they involve themselves, who place the Church

with its Infallibility in the Council.

Nor is it less, but rather more absurd, to settle it in the Pope alone; an Assertion so foolish and ridiculous, that the very reciting of it might be a sufficient consutation; namely, to place the Church in one Man; the Church, I say, which (even though representative) is formally a Congregation of many: Nevertheless we shall proceed in the Method we have begun.

The Jesuits do generally hold this Opinion, and affirm, that the Pope alone, like the Pythia of Delphos, may frame Decrees,

and impose them upon the people.

But the forementioned difficulties return; For how can you perswade your felf that the Pope was Popable? that he was rightly, if at all baptized? that he obtained not the

P

İI

P

P

h

V

h

n

C

b

a

i

the Popedom by force, by fraud, or by Simony? that he was a Man, and not a Woman? (for we have an instance of a Woman Pope, namely, Pope Jone) any of which being supposed, renders the Pope no more a

Pope.

ke a

y to

Au-

de-

ırch

to

tho-

hev

irch

to

ing

ın;

res

of

in

pi-

ke

es,

n;

at

ly,

ot

he

Moreover, How shall you know that the Pope when he went about the framing of his Decrees, neglected not the usual preparations, namely, Fasting and Prayer for seven days, &c. that he acts by the advice of his Conclave, and not rather from the dictates of his own private judgment or humor. Now if these Conditions be wanting, the Decrees are not pronounced from the Chair, and therefore not infallible, nor obligatory to the Conseience.

Further, By what Argument can you be convinced, that this Infallibility doth not belong to the Bishop of Paris (for example) as much as to the Bishop of Rome; and that it cannot be removed from the Roman Chair; for Gerson hath written a Treatise concern-

ing the possibility of removing it.

finally, It cannot be conceived that one fingle man is Infallible in matters of Faith, feeing he hath no promife of an Infallible Spirit; and there are manifest examples of his

his having been actually deceived. But perhaps you will fly to that Vulgar distinction of the Popes pronouncing from, or without his Chair; fo that what he Decrees in the former way is infallible; though in the latter

he may deceive, and be deceived.

But you'l find that even this distinction can very little advantage, but rather prejudice your Caufe: For how can it be conceived, that one and the fame Man, without any fear or compulsion, can contradict himfelf in one and the fame matter? Again, the Pope, who being out of the Chair may deceive, ought to advise with himself, as sitting in the Chair, left he fall imo an errour; or the Cardinals, if they would have this Holy Father to be always infallible, should bind the good Old Man to the Chair with Chains, (as Prometheus was tyed to Candafus) that he may never be moved out of this Infallible Seat. Besides, it is manifest, that the Popes, even pronouncing from the Chair, have frequently erred; as appears by the examples of John the XXIII. Stephanus, Formofus, and others, as hath been made manifelt to the World by many of the Reformed Writers, yea even by the Papists themfelves; witness Platina concerning the Lives of

D

01

m

bi

C

Po

M

m

no

Sc

ce

fh:

Po

ty

w

et

fo

an

er

be

fo

le

alt

of the Popes, which Platina was the Popes

own Library-keeper at Rome.

mean by this Chair; for the material Chair can contribute nothing to the Popes Infallibility by any physical or internal vertue, else a Herds-man, if set upon this Infallible Chair, would be no less Infallible than the Pope himself: But by the formal or rather Moral Chair (in which sense our Saviour makes mention of Moses Chair) there can nothing else be understood than the Holy Scripture it self; and if the Pope pronounceth according to the tenour thereof, we shall willingly hearken to him.

3. The Holy Spirit, upon whom the Popes Infallibility is faid to depend, is not tyed to this or that place, but bloweth when, and where, and upon whom he lift-

eth.

noi

out

the

tter

ion

cju-

on-

out

im-

the

de-

ing

OP

oly

ind

ns,

hat

Hi-

the

ir,

he

or-

ni-

ed

m-

res

of

Others more sharp-fighted observing the foolishness of this distinction, have devised another; namely, that the Pope cannot erre in a question of Right, though he may be deceived in matters of Fact. But this also is a broken Reed, upon which if a man lean, it will go into his hand and pierce it; although it was invented by the Jansenists,

to heal that Wound which Alexander the VII. gave them, in that famous business of the five Articles. For first, when the Pope Anathematizeth a man, he considers him as believing fo and fo: Nor doth it appear, how he that is free from all possible errour. in matters of Right, can be subject to errour in matters of Fact; for when he pronounceth concerning the fense of any Book, being an Infallible Interpreter of the fense and meaning (which they do affirm) he may fall from a question of Right into a question of Fact; and fo may determine that this and no other was the Authors meaning. 2. Seeing Law prescribes to matter of Fact. it is not likely that he who is Infallible in matter of Right, can erre in matter of Fact. 3. Right doth sometimes arise from Fact; therefore that which before was a question of Fact, afterwards turning to a question of Right, the Pope may give an infallible judgment concerning it. 4. If this be true, the Pope cannot condemn nor Anathematize an Heretick, his judgment being fallible in matters of Fact. 5. We have before shortly be co proved, that the Pope hath erred most aboout minably in matters of Right. Cour com di nggan

And

th

C lo

of

In Po

In

pro mo

the Cou

Wh have

WOU

mig to t

gate

abse

Con

with

And thus you may fee what difficulties they involve themselves in, who place the Church and its Infallibility in the Pope alone.

Now followeth the third and last Opinion of the Papists concerning the Church and its Infallibility, namely, that it consists in the Pope and the Council together: But

Incidit in Scyllam qui vult vitare Charybdim.

For the same difficulties that were before proposed, do press them equally: And moreover, these doubts will arise, Whether the Pope have his Infallibility from the Council, or the Council from the Pope? Whether if the Pope be absent, his Legates have the fame Infallibility that he himself would have, if Prefent? if fo, whether he might not as easily Delegate this Infallibility to the Bishops of the Council, as to his Legates? Whether Decrees made in the Popes absence be Infallible, and binding to the Conscience? For if no Decrees be binding without the Popes Confirmation, it cannot be conceived, how he can extrajudicially (or out of Council) ratifie the Decrees made in Council, being the Popes Infallibility confifts

the

of

ope

as

ar,

ur.

our

unbe-

nie

sti-

hat

ng:

act,

in

act.

a;

ion

of

dg-

the

an

in

tly

bo-

ind

filts not in his own Person, as feparate from, but joyntly with the Council. avloud you

From what I have faid it evidently appears, that the Papists catch at a Shadow instead of the Body; and though they obstinately affert the Infallibility of their Church, yet they cannot agree, nor do they know where this Church is to be found: So that they are altogether ignorant how to fatisfie a doubting Soul in this matter, and yet they defift not

Projicere ampullas & sesquipedalia verba.

While I was yet in the Roman Communion I perceived my felf intangled in thele Labaryinths, and often confidered how to extricate my felf, that fo I might with a clear Conscience worthip God in purity and holiness. And although I had heard much in my own Country (which is Spain) of the Reformed Churches, yet I could never light on any of the Books of their Learned Di vines, being forbidden to read them under the feverest penalties. But hearing that they founded all their Doctrines upon the core Holy Scriptures, I became very defirous to fearch into the same; (for in Spain it sell him th

of

pri rei

M

mil

tha

tra

de

ter Pr

the Ch

full

refe

the

BOU

nan

tain

able

2 T

that

thou

1. 8

the Clergy is not forbidden to read the Scriptures) and having met with these words of the Apostle Paul , 2 Tim. 3. 16, 17, All Stripture is given by Inspiration of God, and is prefitable for Doctrine, for Reproof, for Correction, for Instruction in Righteon nefs, that the Man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works. It feemed to me, that the Apostie had drawn a lively Portraicture of a Minister of the Gospel; and described at once what ought to be the matter and Fountain, as well as Method of Preaching; yea, and declared by what means the Man of God, that is, a Minister of Christ, may undoubtedly attain unto the full perfection of his Ministry, as well in reference to his own accomplishments for the work, as to the good effects of his labour apon the Souls committed to his care; hamely, he afferteth, that the Scriptures contain a rich Treasure of Divine Knowledge, f the able to make a man wife unto Salvation. light 2 Tim. 3. 15. and pronounceth him accurled that should preach another Gospel, even under though it were an Angel from Heaten, Gal. 1. 8. but peace upon as many as walk acn the cording to this Rule, Gal. 6.16. And God ous to himfelf fends his people to the Law, and to th

om,

ob-

their

they

und:

ow to

and

thefe

W to

ith a

y and

much

l Di-

that

it sel

the Tellimony, as the most effectual way to reduce them from feeking unto false Prophets and Wizards, Ja. 8. 199120 A

t

:0

12

m

af

1

ha

ad

as

1 4

Ar

cate

Scr

to t

fo S

of t

also

and (

fach:

Chur

As

main

gain

Sexi pit

Having pondered these things seniously and often within my felf, and observed that Paul speaks so magnificent things of the Scriptures; and that he Ywho shunned not to declare the whole Counfel of God) professeth that he had said no other things than those which Moses and the Prophets did fay fhould come a bouickly concluded that the only mark of the true Church, is to be taken from this Fountain. That the Church is built upon the Foundation of the Prophets and Apostles, and the chief Corner-stone is Jes fus Christ. And I found that Augustine (that glorious Light of Antiquity) did agree, with me, writing, Contr. Ma. Arian 1, 3. Neither mill I alledge the Nicene Chuncil to your prejudice, nor ought you to alledge the Council of Ariminum to mind: Let us net make ufe of Writings, partial tothe one or to the other Party, but of the boly Scriptures, that are imparreat Judges of both; and compare Canfe with Canfe, Matter with Matter, and Reafon with Reafan: And elsewhere, writing against Domains, There (namely in the Scriptures) let w feek for the True Church; there let is difcult the point. Being

Being now fully confirmed in this general Principle, I began to enquire narrowly into the Purity of particular Churches, and upon enquiry found, that none do so exactly agree with the Scriptures, as the Reformed Churches: Wherefore I firmly resolved with my self, to forsake the Roman Idolatry, and affociate my self to the Protestants: which I accordingly performed in France; and having renounced the Romish Superstitions, I adjoyned my self to the Reformed Church, as being the true Church of Christ; which I shall now shortly evince by the following Arguments.

That is the true Church, which i. Vindicates and maintains the Authority of the Scriptures. 2. Teacheth Doctrine agreeable to the Scriptures. 3. (Because I will not be so Scripturary as to neglect the Testimony of the Fathers and Councils) Which agrees also with the Testimony of Ancient Fathers and Councils: But the Reformed Church is such: Therefore the Reformed is the true Church.

As for the first, the Reformed Church maintains the Authority of the Scriptures gainst the Papists, who affirm, That the scriptures have no Authority, as to us at least, but

-

to

601

fly.

hat

the

nat

ro-

nan

fay

the

ken

vik

and

lea

chat

with

ther

pre-

il of

le of

Par-

ipar-

with

with

Do-

let w

i feult

Being

found out by Bellurmine; namely, that the Authority of the Scripture, confidered in it felf, doth not depend upon the Church, but only in respect of us. But how frivolous is this distinction? For all Authority is Relative, and therefore it cannot be confidered without a relation to us: And moreover the Supposition is false, that the Scriptures Authority, as to us, depends upon the Church.

But before I come to overthrow this As fertion, it will not be amis to observe. that the reason which induceth the Papists to de fend it, is evidently this; They know not how to answer the Protestants Arguments from Scripture, without wresting the fense, and therefore hold, that the fense of the Scriptures depends upon the interpretation of the Church, which obligeth them to de fend, that the Authority of the Scripture depends also upon the Church, being that, without the Churches Tradition, we can have no certainty of the Scriptures themselves nor of their sense. In this they imitate ex actly the Ancient Hereticks, of whom Terstillian fays, When the Hereticks are confute from the Scriptures, they prefently begin to we sufe the Striptures, his of they were not of ful fi ci ent

bi

II:

S

3.

ca

C

th

an

fte

ve

the

tha

O

aff

the

Ca

Ca

of

shan shey are cited by the Orthodox, and of which there is no centainty without Tradition. Where you may see an exact Portraicture of

the Modern Papifts.

was

the in it

but

15 is

ela.

ered othe

AL

Th.

Af

that

de

not

ents

ase:

the

tion

de

ures

hat,

ave

ves,

Ter.

at et

fuf-

ent

But to return to our purpole; we affert, That the Scriptures Authority doth no way depend upon the Authority of the Church, but of the Holy Ghost only, speaking internally, in our hearts, and externally in the Scriptures, because he is their Author, 2 Tim. 3. 16. 2 Pet. 1. 21; and therefore he alone can give them their Authority. And as Christ seeks a Testimony from none besides the Father, so neither doth his Word need any other, which he hath left upon Earth instead of his own Person. And as it were very absurd to affirm, that the Authority of the Kings Proclamation depends upon the Cryer, or a Rule upon the thing ruled, or that the Sun borrows his light from his own Orb or Vortex; fo it is no less ridiculous to affirm, that the Authority of the Scriptures depends upon the Church: The Church is the Candlestick, the Word of God is the Candle, Revel. 1. 20. Luk. 8. 16. Now as a Candlestick contributes nothing to the light of the Candle, so neither doth the Church to

to the Authority of the Scriptures.

We teject not the Ministerial Testimony of the Church in this affair, because thereby we come to the knowledge of the Scriptures, as the Samaritans came to the knowledge of Christ by the Samaritan Womans Testimony; which nevertheless was not the reason or ground of their Faith, but the In-

strument only.

The Papists object, that the Church is called the Pillar and Ground of Truth, I Tim. 3. 15. And from hence they conclude, that the Authority of the Scriptures, as to us, depends entirely upon the Church. But to pals Camero's observation, that these words belong to the fixteenth verse, where there is a Copulative Particle, which otherwise were useless; and that the Apostle first compares the Church to a House, and then teacheth us, what is the chief Pillar of that House, wiz. God manifest in the Flesh : For a House cannot be called a Pillar, but a Pillar is in a House. In this place Paul means not an Architectonical Pillar, (that fultains the Authority of the Scriptures) but a Political, to which the Fdicts of the Supreme Governour are affixed. Nor is Bellarmines Exception against this distinction of any weight, that the Church may

4X

th

do

te

ex

m

th

ca

pe

H

W

th

100

the

bu

de

for

as es

38y

C

fee

pe

be as well called a Bibliotheck, as a Pillar, in this leafe: For we affirm, that the Church doth not only keep these Books, but also teach and publish the Contents thereof, and expose them to the view of the people.

may be one Motive to induce us to believe the Divine Authority of the Scriptures, but cannot beget in our minds a firm and certain perswalion of it, which is the work of the Holy Ghost only, whom God joyns wish his Word, Island 21. My Spirit which is upon thre, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy m u h, Go.

Augustine speaks well to this purpose, in his Confessions; But how shall I know that these are thy words? Moses faid so indeed, but Moses is gone; and if he were present, and should speak Hebrew, I could not understand him; but if he spoke Latin, and I winderstood him, how could I be certain that he spoke the truth? The Truth it self, which is neither Greek, Latin, Hebrew, nor Barbarian, without any sound of the tongue, or noise of Sykables, would say unto me inwardly in the Cabinet of my heart, he speaketh truth. You see, Christian Readers, how Augustine was perswaded of the Divinity of the Scriptures,

ony

eby

rip.

OW-

ans

the

In-

all-

im.

hat

ns,

to

rds

eris

ere

res

us,

nz.

not

fe.

cal

he

F.

d.

di-

ay

be

mot by the Authority of the Church, nor of Moses and the Prophets, but by the Internal Truth speaking in his heart, which is the Holy Spirit.

It's in vain to reply hereunto, that every one may presend the Spirit; for pretentions cannot prejudice the Truth; the Question between us and the Papists is not, Whether the Scriptures are of Divine Authority, or not? for both of us affert that they are: But, Whether he that admits this, is perfunded of it? To which whether they or we give the most satisfactory answers we leave the whole Christian World, that are not partial to either Party, to judge.

We conclude therefore, that as this Quefiion, Whether the Scriptures are the Word of God? is unworthy of a Christian: So Jesuit Sambays's Assertion (de fide Orthodoxa) is foolish and ridiculous, That the Protestams have not the Scriptures. For, besides that, he defends it for no other end, but that he may shun the dint of their Arguments drawn from the Scriptures: He useth no other Medium to prove that Assertion, but that the Reformed Church, wanting the Marks of the true Church, isla, false Church, and therefore cannot have the Scriptures, which w

pe

mk

fti

fer

Ch

no

M

be

th

the

th

A

th

Pa

m

gu

pr

-ng

80

fre

th

R

th

ce

ar

di

which do both in their matter and form depend upon the Church: Which Argument is most false, and doth manifestly beg the Queftion, viz. That the Scriptures, and their fense, depend upon the Authority of the Church; which we utterly deny, and that not withour reason, as I shewed above. Moreover, the Jesuit sheweth his Cause to be desperate, by endeavouring to rob us of the Scriptures; for none of the Ancient Fathers denied the Scriptures to any Heretick that argued his own Caufe from them; and Augustine that we quoted above, affirms, that the Scriptures are not peculiar to any one Party, but impartial Judges of all. We might with far better reason return this Argument upon the Papists, because we have proved, that their Representative Church is not only false, but no Church at all : But I am not folmuch afraid of their Arguments from Scripture, and therefore do not deny them the Bible.

Having established the Opinion of the Reformed Churches, in reference to the Authority of the Scriptures, I shall now proceed to the properties of the same

First therefore I affirm, that the Sanipures are perfect, by a perfection of parts as well

ter-

ery

ons ion

sher

07

re:

rive

the

)ue-

d of

fuit

is

he

he

ents

9-

but

the

reb,

nich

as degrees; and so sufficient to Salvation, Psal. 19. The Law of God is perfect! Their sufficiency appears from the forecited place,

2 Tim. 3. 16, 17.

The accession of the New Testament to the Old, doth not disprove the perfection and fufficiency of the Scriptures ! For he that revealed the whole Counfel of God to Believers, did nevertheless reveal no other than what Moles and the Prophets had written before, as we hinted above. Hence the Ancient Fathers faid very well, As the New Testament is hid in the Old, so the Old is made plain and clear in the New. Nor doth a dis ference in degree alter the nature or fricus of a thing; neither is the Question betwin the Papifts and us, concerning this or that part of the Scripeures, but concerning the whole Canon, as it was received by the Ancient Church , and enumerated by Huron. So that in this Argument there is evidently the Fallacy of dividing what ought to be joyned together.

And as we justly out off the Apocryphal Books from this perfection and sufficiency of the Scriptures, because they contradict both themselves and the Canon; nor were they ever received in the Jenish Church, to

which

W

b

 \mathcal{I}

20

cf

on

the

the

th

the

an

fid

ani

25

no

eaf

enl

this

for

phich the Oracles of God were committed, Rom. 1, 2. So we reject the Popula distinction of Protocanonical & Deuterocanonical Books, with the same facility that they propose it, being without proof.

Hence we do but little esteem unwriter Traditions, because what is written doth sufficiently instruct us what we are to believe and do in order to life eternal, John 20.31.

of Monks, and particularly the shaving of their Crowns, to these unwritten Traditions; because Christ says, I have yet many things to say unto you, but you cannot bear them now, John 16. 12. For if this had been the thing that Christ had further to say unto them, he might easily have sent for a Barber and caused their Heads to be shaved: Besides that the Monks, (whose duty was to weep, and not to teach, saith Hierom) were shaven as a sign of their penitence, not of any honour or preeminence.

Secondly, The Scriptures are plain and case to be understood; The Commandment, enlightning the eyes, Psal. 19.8. What soever things were written afore-time, were written for our learning, that we through patience and

comfort

hear

ace.

to

tion

ohe

to

ther

Air-

the

New

nade

di-

ecies

WIXE

that

the

An-

FORM.

ntly

be

phal

ency

dict

were

\$ \$0

hich

Rom. 15, 4. Those things therefore which are absolutely necessary to Salvation, being very few; and very easie, are clearly and plainly set down in the Scriptures, though other things not so absolutely necessary may puzzle the most Sagacious understandings: Chrysostom says well; The boly Scriptures are such that a Liamb may wade in them, and yes an

Elephant may foim.

Seeing then that the Scriptures are plain, as is evident from Reason, and the Testimony of the Fathers, the Resormed Churchin do with good reason attribute a judgment of discretion, in Controverses of Faith, to every true Christian: So that every Believer, by frequent reading and comparing of the Scriptures, may easily understand their meaning, at least as to things absolutely necessary to Salvation; For no Prophese of Scripture is of any private interpretation, nor came by the will of man, but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost, 2 Pet 1.

As for the Fathers of the Ancient Church, and the four Primitive Councils, we willing ly imbrace them as Interpreters of the Scriptures; yea moreover, we affirm, that in fub-

8

n

t

to

th

f

21

th

E

A

CZ

Pi

7

姐

bind, but not force our belief: But we utterly deny, that the Fathers, or these Councils, or the Pope, are Judges of Controverfies about matters of Faith; but the only
Judge of all such Controversies is the Holy
Ghost speaking in the Scriptures, or as Augastine saith, Christ himself; Let Christ judge
of this Controversie, who although he be absent in his Person, yet is present in his Word.

Hence it doth appear that the Scriptures may rightly be called a Normal Judge, deciding the question in manner of a Law, though not outwardly proclaiming the sentence: The Word of God is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart, Heb. 4. 12. We have sufficiently proved, that the Reformed Charles do vindicate the Authority and Properties of the Scriptures: It remains now to be proved, that they teach ac-

cording to the Scriptures.

I shall pass the Doctrines of God, and his Attributes of the Trinity, and the like, because there is little difference between the Papists and us in these Points, except in some Preter-fundamental things, which the resides and Dominicans do also dispute among themselves. I shall now only take notice

which

eing

and

ough

may

ngs:

s are

ey an

lain.

citie

rchies

ne of

0.0

elie

gof

heir

ne-

crip-

came

pake

aton.

rch.

ing-

rip-

t ip

fub-

of this, that the Jesuits do very absurdly de. fine Free-will, viz. A Fuculty whereby all things requisite to action being prefent, the will may act or not act, act this on the contrary. For besides that, when the Object is present to the Understanding, the Will is necessarily determined by the last practical judgment of the understanding to imbrace or reject the object; like as if Straw and Fire come together, there must needs a Flame be kindled I fay, befides this, it's impossible for any man to alter the Prescience and Decree of God (which is one of the things requisite to action) for the Counfel of God flands, and he will do all his pleasure. All things requisite to Judas his betraying of Christ being prefent, (viz. the last practical judgment of his understanding, the receiving of the Money, er. and the Eternal Counsel of God, defigning that Christ should be delivered into the hands of men, fuffer death, and rife again the third day) it was impossible for him not toact.

Therefore the Reformed Churches do excellently define Free-will; A faculty of affing freely, without compulsion, or physical determination to one thing. For the Will cannot be forced to any elicite or internal act; nor EY

de

th

an

de

lin

te

th

th

ma

ON

gc

2:01

lel

de

wa

hea

the

do

cee

Fa

at

tal

fin.

wh

on

ex(

is it capable of a physical or natural necessity, determining it to one thing, as Fire is determined to burn. But it is not free from the determination of the Divine Decree, and the last practical judgment of the understanding; nor in the unregenerate from fin, to which it is in general necessarily determined by its Original depravation, although it hath a freedom to chuse this or that ipecial fin : So that in the unregenerate man it is free only to will, nor can he by his own strength perform any action spiritually good : Of our setves, as of our selves, we cannot think a good thought, 2 Cor. 3. 5. much lels do a good action by nature. We are dead in sin, Eph. 2.1. without God in the world, Eph. 2.3. and every imagination of our heart is only evil continually, Gen. 6.5. And the best actions that the unregenerate can do, are really evil, because they do not proceed from Faith; and what seever is not of Faith, is fin, Rom. 14.23. So that as from a total privation there is no returning to the tabit, but by an Infinite Power; fo fromfin, which is a privation of that rectitude, which ought to be in our faculties and actions, there is no returning to righteoulness, except God do quicken us from the Dead; and.

de.

all

will

For

tò

rily

t of

the

ge

ed:

iny

of

to

be

ice

re-

his

V.

e-

to

2-

m

X-

7

e-

t

)F

is

and fay to us as unto Lazarus, Lazarus arife, 766.1 1.43. and cause the Sun of Righteoutness to arise in the dark Horizon of our hearts, faying as in the first Creation, Let there be light, Gen. 1. And truly feeing Regeneration, according to Scripture phrase, is a new Creation, Create in me a clean heart, Pla. 51. We are his workmanship, created in Christ Jesus umo good works, Eph. 2. 10. In Christ Fesus neither Circumcision availeth any thing, nor Uncircumcifion, but the New Creature, Gal. 6. 15.) it cannot be the work of less than an Infinite Power, whereby God tworketh in us both to will and to do of his good preasure, Phil. 2. 13. So that Augustine did rightly affirm, that the good works of the Heathen were but gliftering fins: They may indeed act something that is morally good, by the general influence of Divine Providence; yet they can act nothing that is truly good in a Theological fehse, because they want Faith to purific their hearts, nor do they aim at the glory of God; for they do not thun this or that fin fimply because it is a fin, but from a vain-glorious delire of Reputation among the people. In guo don'w

Hence it followers, that Justification and Sanchification are not ours, but Gods: fo

that

ti

tl

Ŵ

16

A

P

fo

G

fie

th

ab

OU

H

Rt

tio

th

ch

WE

of

wh

th

cee

In

mu

un int

lab

that when God conferreth Glory upon thole that are Justified and Sanctified, he may with good region be faid to Crown with this reward his own gifts, and not our works.

As for Juffification, of which we intend to fpeak first it is twofold, active and passive: for it may be confidered either in respect of God that justifieth, or of Wan that is justified. In the former confideration it is not thing elle but an act of God, whereby he absolves the finner, and reputes him righteous, for the Merits and fatisfaction of Chille. Hence God is faid to justifie the ungoally Rom. 4. 3. by his grack, through the redenition that is ill fells Christ Rom. 3. 24 so that God in justifying makeris no physical change in the finner, as the Papifts fay, who would have the Justification of God to be of the fame nature with Transubstantiation, whereby one thing is changed into another; that is, that God in Justifying doth not proceed as a fudge, pronouncing one at the Bar Innocent but as it were by a physical immutation, making a righteous man out of an unrighteons, weven as Christ turned water into Wineyana act and the total and anomalog

Bellarmine, Becan, and other Jesuits, have laboured much in the preof of this Affer tion.

ate-

Our Les Reafe,

art.

l in In any

rea

God good did the

may

boo

oviit is aufe

nor

they fe it

e of

and

· fo

that

tion, but without any success: They produce nothing from Scripture, but what is to be referred to Sanctification, not Justifica-tion. And thus they commit the fallacy of ignoratio Elenchi; and as for those Argu-ments drawn from Reason, they are so unrealonable, that they do not delegue a Refufrom the Word it felf; for faythey, to fu-fife, according to the Etimology of the word, is nothing elle but to make just on righteau, being it is compounded of justus and facio; as well as to Sanctifie is to make, not to pronounce holy, & . Therefore to justifie cannot import the absolution of a fine ner who is really unrighteous, but the man king of him righteous. But they hereby thew themselves to be no better Gramarians. than they are Divines; for the lenge of a word in matters of Faith, is not to be taken out of Calepine, but from the Word of God, which is the Rule of our Faith. Now it is manifest, and bath been demonstrated by many, that Justification is every where in the Scriptures taken in a Law-Senfe. Thus Solomon, He that justifieth the ungadly, and condemneth the righteous, are both an abomination unto the Land Here the instifying of the 1704

1

Ŧ

n

the minightems is opposed to the condemning of the righteens; and fo in all other planenof Seripante on A man infished. -deMercovers if this were the meaning of doo word Julify, then there would be no difference between Justification and Sanctiheation; which nevertheless is evident from Roving at 1. He that is rightenes let him be righteete fill; and be that Is boly, les him be saily shill. Allo Romi 8, 30. Whom he justify rd; chim be also glarified : where under the word Glorific is comprehended Santification, which is begun Glory even as Glory is con-Sand Date Sandification of the tier as Landly, This Composition with the Verb Pacies dorn not always import an internal change as appears from the Song of the Blassed Kirgins My Sout dork magnific the Lords Now let them let their heads togethere and prove, that the Bleffed Mingin, by magnifying the Lord, did cause any internal change in him, and we shall also allow, that God by justifying us, doth make an inhere is therefore no sumi agnical street

sein of God by our works, but only by larte transcription of God by our works, and cause such that we can confer the second cause the second c

Hitherto of Active Justification Now we

0-

to

info-

en

on.

MICO

5

toi

n-

21

M.

BS

24:

W

di en

IS.

1-

we shall come to treat of Passive Junificant on, or Justification confidered in respect of the man justified. And this it is mothing elfe, but an allured confidence of our ligh-teoutness in Christ, and by the impuration of his Merits, which we receive and apply unto our felves by faith Rom. 3 124 126. Hence it appears, that the Meritorious Caufe of our Tultification is the Mesic of Chrift, as we proved a little before; and the hand by which we apply this facistaction of our Saviour, or the inftromental cause of our fullification is Faith. Man is juffified by faith, without the works of the Law, Round 4 28 11 frus clear from the Scriptures as the light of the Sun in mid-day, that we ave justified by faith buly By grace ye are faved through faith, and thee not of your felves ; work the rife of God, Eph. d. 8. So that I shall infilt no longer upon this spoint, bee conclude with the Apolitica Thin by sale detels of the time stall no flesh be justified. For There is therefore no Justification in the fight of God by our works, but only by faith; which appliesh the Panacea of Salvation unto our dead hearts, and causeth us to live in God and Godia use of still We

SIN SIN

We

the

the

but

inft

fore

Aby

tion

Or e

for

he p

agre

that

anly

faith

S

by fa

ook

need

We are not fo unreasonable, as to separate Works from Faith; yet nevertheless we afirm that Faith only doth justifie: it's the eye only that fees, the hand only that weight, yet neither can the eye fee, nor the hand weigh, unless they be united to the body; Even lo Faith only doth inftifie: but this Fauth is never separated from Good

it But by a soft wife in

Á

15 è

re it but

ti

0

y -

9

8

The Apostle James indeed faith, We are justified by works, and not by faith only, Jam. 2.124. But he either means justification before men as in the eighteenth verfe, Show me thy fath by thy works; or else the confirma-tion of internal faith by external actions; or else he speaks by a Matonimy of the effect for the cause; so that by faith and works he means a working faith; which feems to agree well with the words, Ton fee then kow that by works man is justified, and not by faith mly; that is, not by a folitary or naked faith, which is not accompanied with works, for faith being alone is dead; but by a living aith, which shews its foundness by works.

So that we are not justified by works, but y faith only; and who foever will narrowly ook into himfelf and his own frailty, must needs break forth into Bellarmine's words; B ecause of the frailty of humane life, and the to relye only upon the mercy of God Bell bon. oper. Confider, Candid Reader, words of this Cardinal, who (as I can de montrate, if need be at the end of the greatest Controversies between us and she Papists, yields at last unto the truth, and appears, though an Iralian, to be more's Protellant than a Papint! take I sillog A of I

Thus we have proved, by Gods athitance, that Active Justification is an external judi-cial absolution of an unrighteous man, and pronouncing of him righteous; and that Pallive Justification is by fairly only, and the a living faith, which applieth to us the Me for the early, to

rits of our Saviour.

As for Sanctification, I that lay little com-cerning it, by realou the Papil's don't dif-agree much with the Ptotellands in this Point, as to the nature of the thing, only? utterly deny, that the good works which we do are metorious, or necessary to Salvation, necessitate medis, as a mean to britis which us to Salvation: Bernard fays well, Good B morks are the way to the Kingdom, not the ex cause of reconing nor does the Popish di wro function between merit of congruity and abol con-

 \boldsymbol{L}

th pr

on

A

are

the

con

ger

che

are 14, As

it to

int

pini

which

continuity mitigate this Affertion for befides that the Congruity of Gods Reward for our Works confides onely in his own good pleafare Fear not livele Flock, for it is your Father's good pleasure to give you a Kingdom, Lak. 12. 32. I have often admired, that they have found no Text of Scripture to prove the condignity of works, but this one which is Diametrically contrary to their Affertion. The Sufferings of this present time are not worthy (but condign) to be compared with the Glorythat is to be revealed in us.

This is the onely place where the word

condignes is to be found.

I will proceed now to shew how found and genuine the Doctrine of the Reformed Churches is concerning the Sacraments, which are Seals of the righteonfness of faith, Rom. 4. Ir. And mortly refute the Popish Errours. As for Baptisin, both Parties acknowledge it to be a Sacrament of Initiation, by which high in the faith of our Parents (which is my o-Sal pinion) we are implanted into the Church, ring which is the Body of Christ.

But the Papils fallely affirm, that Baptilin ex opere operato (by vertue of the work wrought) works in us Regeneration, and and abolithes Original Sin: Not onely because an

ice.

idi-

and

Hat

194 MSO.

Tot

Bill

AIR this

Good 1 100 di

con-

external, corporal thing can have no influence upon things internal and ipiritual, in reference to the rectifying thereof, which can onely be done by God himself; every good and perfect Gift comes down from the Father of Lights, faith the Apostle James : But likewise because the sign of the Covenant cannot communicate to us the things com-

prehended in the Covenant.

And the contrary appears also from the effect because those that have been baptized are and have been libject to everlatting damna-And if this Sacrament ex opera operato, did work Grace, Regeneration, oc. then Abraham could not have been reputed righteous by faith in engirgumcifion, Rom. 4. 9. Moreover in the Primitive Times, and especially as to them that were baptized when come to Age, Faith was required before the Seal of Righteousness were stampt upon their hearts and consciences. Now because Faith is the Root of the rest of the Graces, and hath annexed unto it that great work of Repentance: It necessarily follows, that the Apostle requiring faith in the Adult, did suppose the rest of the Graces to be in them, not imagining that they should be conferred upon them, but rather fealed or confirmed Dy

by Ri

th

Re

of

tiz

lie

if

VC

Fa

re

he

ar

B

bl

in

0 C

a

C 1

a b

£

by Baptilm for Baptilin is a Seal of the Righteoniucis of Faith; and not a thing that by its internal writte work faith and Regeneration : what mines son jud innes

For this very reason, Augustin, and other of the Fathers affirmed, that Infants are baptized in the Faith of their Parents, if bolieving Parents or in the Faith of the Church, if their Parents were unknown sor Unbelievers : because they were perswaded that Faith is rather required before, than conferred by Baptifin So that we believe that the holy Ghoft doth operate in the tender hearts and minds of elect Infants, even from their Birth, and that effectually, though intenfobly : and although perhaps he doth not work in them subjectively an actual faith; vet be objectively applies unto them the benefits of Christ, which in others are received by an actual faith of Womendial lauta

But left any should think that we falfely charge our Adversaries with this Assertion; I will shew the candid Reader the reason why they affert it ; and then evince the fame from a custom frequent among them in the Celebration of this Sacrament and in Ann bul

The reason why they affirm it, is because they hold that Infants departing without Bap;

in hich

HETY Fa-

But

lant

om-

ef-

are

ma-

era-

Oc.

ated

4.9.

fpehen

the heir

aith

and

Re-

the did

em, rred

ned by

offen tendaret be district that he inferior kind of the kind of the parties of the Children incorrection where they where parties damni, but not panam feafus; "they they are deprived of the Bentifick Villal of God, duning strey are wise under my Shiffsle tor-ment. If then their Election, which is inchangeable, and there being under the Covemiled of Grace, which belonged to them as well as to their Havents, be not fufficient to fave them because they were not baptized. finely Baptilin which maketh them leapable to demand Heavell, until by a phylical vertile work those Graces, whereby they may arrain unto Salvation. Why They hold that none the level without the Bolom of the Ohileth, and that home can be reported Membess of the Church, except fuch as have been baptized. Moreover then Collow is to admit of the Baptilin of Women providing The Form be observed) in call of necessity; which thews how absolutely necessary they officem Bapt in unto Salvation.

Having proved the Charge I I shall de-

And first it is as certain, That all Infants departing without Baptism are not deprived of the beatisted Vision; as that David was faved

EV the

es'l len

the

101

co

Ei til

0

C

bē

th

e

20

gived; who after death was to go to his Child ther died swithout Circuit cifcon some sands. 290) in place whereof Papulan succeeded, as appears from to H zola in zoland as certain. as that the promife of Etebnal Life doth belong to lafance which Adgument is of the faine forde beautiff the Asubufitiffs, that deny the Seal to Infants, to whom the promise belongs, (for which reason Peter did willingly confer Barnismapon former Con verts (Ast. 2.38, 39) as against the Papsts, that deny Ei emal Life Dolchildren doingwithout Raprifm, bithough they be under the promife and Government of these ; for heather is under the Dovemme of Geare, tog the Promises; is in Christiand hother is in Theil will certainly be leved w Therefore Children being innder the Covedant of Grace, and the Promise of Life p with vertainly benfaved, Metro 2. 89: proper words, except a man be born aged de

But they object this Scripture, Except a mun biological of content and ref the spirit, he chimerogen theorem Ringdom of God, Joh, 3. 6. wirente whey comolude that none can be saved without Baptism.

by this water and spicit but the holy Ghost himself, who is compared to water, because

tor.

un-

n as

to

e to

ain

ne

m-

en

d-

ng

cance he washeth away our final. There is another expression like unto this in Men. 31 is. Phophall because you with the Holy Ghost shall purge you as fire doth Gold, seven times resided. So that these Expressions are Metaphorical and Figurative: Vergel hath the like expression:

regalities beautiful conferenced willing in the conference of the

We drink out of Cups and Gold, that is out of Golden Cups; so to be haptized with the Spirit, and with site, is nothing else but to be baptized with a fiery Spirit; and the same way are Christs words concerning water and spirit to be understood; wherefore what he saith here figuratively, by way of Handiadis, he expressed in the third Verse in proper words, except a man be born again he can not see the Kingdom of God. So that it clearly appears from Christsown exposition, that here is understood spiritual Regeneration, and not the external washing with war ter in Baptism.

men is valid, and not to be reiterated.

They

in the same

ini ini

hat lee he

91

ber

fit

rou

Ac

nin

read

that

37

tathe

al

(0)

is

ith

nt

to

12-

DEC

of

Щ

he it

n.

tia

127

0-

cy

that

in hey can only alledge the example of ipporabithet Gifcumcifed ber Son; where the argue, that a Woman may as lawfully prize as Circumcife. I feel pot give the freer that is unal among & fome Divines in that Zipporale finned in the doings for lod never bielieth men for any fin as flich; ut he did blefs atofes for this action of Cipporale But Lahiver thus that Circumision in the Old Testament was indifferents administred by any persons by reason it misinot fo finishe switch with the Minister ial Office of Preaching, as Baptilm is in he New Teshament & Gorand teach all Nainstrumenten & coldet, 28, 190 -50 hat now it is unlawful for any to adminien Baptilm, but fuch as are ordained for turned to the urit nothing, outworthiniMed Now we shall proceed to speak of the oher Sacrament (without regulating the reft of their fives Sacraments T that have and round in the Setiptures, not the Hathers Neither the Lords Supper ent rentiel According to found Doctrine athe Lords apper is nothing elfe but all visible fign of n invisible Gracely wherein by receiving of read and Wine , is fignified our receiving othe Body and Blood of Christ as a Seal of

of the Covenant of Grace, tending to lor Salvation. We deby non, that the Hody Blood of Christ is really pressing auchie a Sacrament; but we dony or That is corporally prefent; because it is risen Briptive, and in Heaven pand therefore chiner be every wheren the Wel deny be the Lords Suppose a Sacrifide fur the ving and the Dead; which point I shall chi chon in the Old Tellament wanede thinit As to the field the Papille dolvery hand bin tinge their I ran substantiation is the winder and substantial presences of the Body wall Blood of libl poti our Setious, winder the uppearance and an one cidents of Bread and Wind imagining shill Gal

the fubitance of the Bread and Wines the turned to the first nothing, out of which tan was created, and the actidents only do re the main which affect our fendes of light, feet anni of this imagination I thus demonstrate. More than 1. Neither the Word nor the thing is to With

be found in Scripture ; for after the Confe-line cration it is called, the Bread of which want body partakers, it Cor. 10. 17. Now if the Bread lent were annihilated, how could we be partakers ough of it? And moreover, no Papilt will allow me that

er h u

A on

DIC

93

Ani

o lo Matitube salled Bread after Confecration which yet we see the Scripture doth is builds 2. The Word it self is new, and was ne-2. The Word it left is new, and was newhen Berengarius was forced to recant the Truth; and fall into a most abominable Erthe four, namely, that Chails Body is bruiled to by the Leeth, and lest down into the Belly, that for a rough a rough a second respective the chails and respective the company and appending the

much hing, yes, Creation may be as well called when Annihilation, as this may be called Transplantiation, for Bransublantiation, is d mothing elfo, but a mutation or turning of the Garley Wine was threed into Water but the Papists say that in this case one fubhes the Papilts lay, that in this cals one judo're the one (namely, the Bread and Wine) is feel annihilated, and the other, namely sthe Boidinally and Blood of Chair, via induced under isto Wine Calthough they have a thouland dione-finctions here about the introducing of the read lents, which I shall pass over;) so that it kers bught nather to be called, an Annihilation of Houme substance, sand Introduction of pourber: that but

efe

nes

bit in abford name is fit enough for fach an abford thing

The course was sold to the state of the state of the sold of the s

Sacrament, is called by the Anticines a Sign and a Figure of Christs Body. Now nothing can be a Sign or a Figure of it felf therefore Christ cannot be Corporally prefere. Anguline lattle, The Lord and pleafed to Tay, this is my Body. And most of the Ancient Fathers do under hind the words to a Also the Scripfures call the Words to a Also the Scripfures call the Words of the Rights out of Fathers of Fath, uswe faid before mow the Scal cannot be the thing it self.

It were con tedious to confiderall the arguments of the Papills against the Doctrine of the Reformed Churches, concerning the Lords Shaper Ponly. This one I cannot pass by, which I have read in an Anonymous fefuit, whereby he endeavours to invalidate our last reason against Transubstantiation, by giving this instance, that Doctro might have sheen a sign of chimself, as fighting with collab, if he had bretented himself to

the peoples view upon a Theater

But

casi

per

Sac

Chr

of

feer

bee I A was Ma

ly.

the

the

pei

Liv

mo

Sa

the

fel

ce

OI

W

But I answer, 1. David had not been in that case a fign of himself, but of the actions he

performed in the Combat.

Sack; or laid himself down upon the Theater covered with an Asses skin (as they say Christ's Body is covered with the accidents of Bread and Wine) so that he could not be seen, I do not understand how he could have been a sign of himself, or his actions either. I shall omit to observe, that the Sagrament was instituted in Commemoration of the Man Christ, & a that Commemoration is only of absent persons; as likewise many of their Exceptions against, us for brevities sake.

Only I shall shortly demonstrate the other Proposition, with that the Lords Supper is not a Sacrifice for the sins of the Living and the Dead, by this general argument. Where there is no Priest, no Altar, no proper Host, there can be no proper Sacrifice for sin: But in the New Teltament there is mone of these (beside Christ himself) Therefore, where there is a proper Sacrifice, there where there is a proper Sacrifice, there must

no-

elf:

oreujed the

ient

Alfo

hie-

the

ar-

rine

the

pals

10

date

on,

ight

ting

fto

But

must be an Altar, a Priest, and an Hoast, properly so called. So Bellarmin himself sath, That Altars use not to be Erected, unless for Sarrisces, properly so called. (de Miss. Lib.). Cap. 16.) And elsewhere, without an Altar can be no Sacrifice, de Culo

San. 1.3. c. 4.

New to prove the Minor; there is no material Alear to be found in the Scriptures, as one to be used in the New Testament. Christ who instituted this Sacrament, Celebrated the same on the Table, Lak. 22. 21. The Apostle Paul calls it the Lords Table , I Car. 19, 4319 But there is no mention made of at Altar, which had been certainly done, if an Altar had been in we! Hence the great Believin fays (detMist, 1. 1. c. 17.) The Apostles did not use the Names of Priesthood, Surrifice. Alter; as knowing well there could be none after the material Sacrifices

œ

PI

to

I

in

ta

21

W

a

h

P

ta

of

28

bi

ces were Sealed up : But the Papists object, Heb. 13. 1c. We have an Altar subereof they have no right to cat , which ferve the Tabernacle. I answer, This Text speaketh of an improper, figurative, invifible Altar, but we deny onely a proper and material Altar: for that the words are figurative, evidently appears, because no Body can eat of a material Altar : And moreover, the Sacrifice that the Apostle would have to be offered up upon it, doth plainly discover what kind of Altar he means : By him therefore let us offer the Sacrifice of Praise to God continually; that is the fruit of our Lips, giving Thanks to his Name. The Ancient Fathers also do agree with us. None of these is visible neither the Priest, nor the Sacrifice, nor the Altar; Ambrose in Epist. ad Heb. I think that the Altan is nothing elfe but the Body of the Lord; Bernard, in Sermit. Na-

Bel.

tars

k.s.

ere

19

in

ho

12-

22.

he

jut

Al.

10,

ice

fines and fines

Nazianzen calls it the Altar which is above, Orat. 24. And finally the Papists themselves are forced to confets, that here is meant an improper Altar; I do not urge the place it self. Bell. de Miss. 1.1. c. 14. as also Thomas, Anselmas, and many others.

2. Nor is there any proper Sacrifice in the New Testament. For Daniel prophesieth, That the Sacrifice and Oblation shall cease: And we see this Prophesie sulfilled; The Apostle Paul faith, Nor yet that he should offer himfelf often, but now once in the end of the World, Heb. 9. 26. Every Priest standerb daily ministring and offering; but this man after he had offered one Sacrifice for fins, for ever, fat down on the right hand of God, Heb. 10.12. where the Apostle evidently afterteth, that Christ offered himself but once; and doth not offer himself, nor can he be so offered, again. Yea the Apostle urgeth the sufficiency

UM

of S

/I.

one

fice

do

Rai

not

but

to

and

for crif

crif

fici

dre

ig

er

Ch

an

of Sacrifice by these two Arguments. (1.) Because he offered himself but once, and did not repeat his Sacrifice as insufficient, (2) Because having perfected his Work, he far down on the right hand of God for ever: But the Priests did always stand, which fignifyed that they had not yet compleated their Work, but must lay their hands once more to it, before they might fit down and rest from their work, Therefore the Papifts devising daily Sacrifices of Christ after that one Sacrifice, doth derogate from its suficiency, and makes Christ lyable to the Ministry of standing, who is lready fet down for ever at the ight hand of God. This was alb the reason why God destroyed ferusalem, and the Temple faster thrifts Mediatory Office was fulfilld as to one part of his Priesthood, amely his Sacrifice) that there might E be

ck 1e

n-

er

f.I

0-

1-

nd

is.

ul

mof

ry Fe-

ed

vn

2.

t-

ut

f,

ea

Cy

of

be no more material Sacrifices, that being the place to which they were confined.

The Papists urge the Custom of the Ancients, and the expressions of the Fathers, who speak very often of Saorifices. But it is clear. that the Fathers meant no other, th n Sacrificia Eucharifica Sacrifices of Thanksgiving, which were commonly performed at the Lords Supper, which with Prayers and Alms are indeed a living Sacrifice, holy and acceptable to God, Rom. 12. 1. Hence Clemens Alexandrinus faith, that a righteous Soul is a boly Altar, and holy Prayer is the Incense. Lactantius saith, two things are to be offered, a Gift and a Sacrifice, both incorporeal; integrity of mind is the gift; Prayer, and Psalms are the Saorifice. Augustin calls our heart an Altar, Humility and Praise a Sacrifice, and Charity the Fire. So Hie.

to

fc

in

ri

IK

roningus, Ambrofius and others.

As for the Priest, there is none besides Christ; for he is a Priest for ever, after the Order of Melchizedek; and Levinical Priests they cannot be, being that Order is ceafed.

Thus having proved, that there is no Altar, Priest, nor Hoast, besides Christ himself, who is our Sacrifice, our Priest, and our Altar, Epiphan. lib. 2. com. 1. hæres. I conclude, that there is now no proper Sacrifice for the sins of the Living and the Dead; and by consequence no Transubstantiation, upspon which this Sacrifice is builded.

And here their Purgatory falls to the Ground, for belides that it is contrary to Scriptures, to Reafon, to the Antient Fathers, and injurious to the satisfaction and merits of Christ; moreover, if there be no Sacrifice, there can be no Mass,

E 4 and

hat

ere

of

ons oft-

ar,

et.

ices

OTH-

up-

lms

boly

I.

th,

tar.

AC-

of-

both

the

54-

an

cri-

So

Tie-

and by confequence no money for the delivery of Souls out of Pur-

gatory.

Here also falls to the ground their Doctrine of Concomitancy, for the fake whereof, (as Gelatius one of their Popes Intimates) they have committed Sacriledg, in the Cup from the Laity; which although the Council of Constance confesseth to be contrary to the Primitive Inthitution of Christ, and Custom of the Antient Church; yet for some ridiculous reasons (such as; That fome persons hands do shake, that fome have deformed Whiskers and Beards) pronouncing an Anathema against him, that will contradict it.

And, I have many times wondred why by the same Doctrine of Concomitancy, they may not as well give the Cup, and take away the Bread, or take away the Cup from the Clergy as well as the Laity:

Why

al

t

t

t

t

t i Why it is a fufilling of the Law to abstain from the one, and a fin, yea a mortal fin to abstain from the other?

There is neither reason nor Scripture to countenance it in the least. So that the Reformed Churches teach sound Doctrine, both as to the Nature, and the Integrity of this Sacrament.

The last Point I shall touch upon, is the worshipping of Saints. The Protestants agree herein with the Scriptures, and the Ancient Fathers, that the Saints are to be honoured by imitation, but not to be religiously adored; and that for these three Reasons:

therefore we cannot worship them, how shall they call on him, in whom they

T C C C I

they have not believed ! Rom. To. 14.

worship any but God: Thou shale worship the Lord thy God, and him ane-

3. The Saints do not know our thoughts, nor can they hear our Prayers: Abraham is ignorant of us, and Israel acknowledgeth us not. Ifa. 63. 16. Hence Aguinas faith, To know the thoughts of the heart, is the pro-perty of God: and elsewhere, The Angels know not the fecrets of the beart. And again, to know the particular thoughts and actions of men, is above the perfection of a create understanding. And Durandus denies that the glorified Saints do know our thoughts. Finally Augustin saith, that the dead know not what is done here. God onely knoweth the bearts of the Children of Men, 1 Kings 8. 39. Peter

Peter the Apostle, though a Saint, would not suffer Cornelius to wor-ship him, saying I my self also am a man, Acts to 26. nor would the Angel suffer John to prostrate himself to him: worship God, saith he, Rev. 19. 20. Yea a Heathen Poet could tell that God onely is to be worshipped.

Nec Dea Sum, dixit, nec sacro thuris
(honore
Humanum dignare caput

Their distinction betwixt latria, and dulia, and hyperdulia hath been abundantly refuted by many of the Reformed Writers.

I shall onely conclude, that if Papists cannot be accused of formal Idolatry, yet they are certainly guilty of material Idolatry.

Ha-

bare.

to

ur

ur

3.

שופ

0-

he he

13

-

5

Having demonstrated, that the Protestant Churches do desend the Holy Scriptures, and conform their Doctrine thereunto in the most weigh, ty points. I justly infer, that the Protestant Church is a irue, faithful, and sincere Church.

I shall shut up all with this Observation, that the Papists perceis
ving, that they cannot prevail as
gainst the Protestants in disputing
of their Doctrine: They question
the Protestant Minestery as not Legitimate But besides that their own
Scholasticks (as Bannes, Canus &c.)
do allow, that the power of Ora
daining is not lost by Heresy: their
own practice doth evidently cons
stute them: for these that have been
ordained in our Churches, are not
reordained by them as to the substantial part of Ordination

And

of

bó

A

CI

ftr

po

So

th

TU

V

fy

01

b

to

a

0

n

And it is certain enough, That a bad Governour, or Governours of the Church may fend good Lasbourers into Christ's Vineyard: yea Antichrist himself being under the Cloak of Christs Vicar, may disstribute the Offices of Christs Temporal and Earthly Court to good men that are willing to serve Christ. So when Christ was upon Earth, the Church was very much corrupted, and yet they sent good Workmen into the Lords Vineyard, such as fol ph, Nicodemus, and othes.

I shall conclude all with thanks to Almighty God, for that he hath been pleased to open mine eyes, to see the way of truth, and prayers to him to confirm me therein: and my hearty wishes, that every one may reject the way of abomination, and he rooted, and built up

up in Christ, and established in the faith, lest he be spoiled by vain desceit, after the Tradition of Men, after the Rudiments of the World, and not after Christ.

Come out of Babylon my People, lest ye be partakers of her sins.

Embrace the true Protestant Religion, which is pure in Doctrine, holy in Manners, and faithful to God and the King.

FINIS.

he les n, ld, le, Re. ne, to

UMI